1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON TACOMA DIVISION 9 10 WILL CO. LTD. a limited liability company 11 organized under the laws of Japan, Case No.: 3:20-cv-05802-BHS 12 Plaintiff, SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE 13 VS. **RELIEF** 14 KA YEUNG LEE, an individual; YOUHAHA **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** MARKETING AND PROMOTION 15 LIMITED, a foreign company; and DOES 1-5 d/b/a THISAV.COM, MISSAV.COM, 16 MYAV.COM, MISSAV789.com, 17 VASSIM.COM, and John Does 6-20 18 Defendants. 19 Plaintiff Will Co. Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" or "Will Co.") by and through its 20 counsel of record files this Complaint against Defendants Ka Yeung Lee, Youhaha Marketing and 21 Promotion Limited, Doe 1, d/b/a THISAV.COM, MISSAV.COM, MYAV.COM, MISSAV789.com, 22 VASSIM.COM, and John Does 2-20 (collectively hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or 23 "Defendants"). 24 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 25 1. Will Co. is an award-winning Japan based entertainment company which includes a vast 26 library of full-length adult entertainment movies offered for viewing in a fee-based model. Will Co. SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND FREEMAN LAW FIRM, INC. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF-1** 1107 1/2 Tacoma Avenue South

Tacoma, WA 98042 (253) 383-4500 - (253) 383-4501 (fax)

- 2. The conduct that gives rise to this lawsuit is egregious and willful. Defendants own and operate websites engaged in the business of copying and distributing infringing audiovisual works. Defendants are directly and knowingly involved in the trafficking of thousands of pirated works including many works owned by Will Co. Moreover, though Defendants are not "service providers," not engaged in the storage of content at the direction of users, and thus not entitled to any of the safe harbors afforded under Section 512 of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA") (17 U.S.C. § 512), Defendants do not comply with their obligations under the DMCA. Plaintiff has sent takedown notices in attempts to remove over 1.5M links to infringing use of its works. Defendants systematically refuse to comply with proper and compliant DMCA takedown notices.
- 3. Defendants earn income through driving traffic to their website(s) where they display a variety of advertisements, including click-through links to live adult content. In Japan, Plaintiff's adult content is subject to stringent legal requirements, including adding "mosaics" (pixelated filters) to certain content and also regulations that allow performers in adult video content to opt-out of distribution of their content under certain conditions. Further, Plaintiff's content is not currently available in the United States via Internet streaming. These regulations increase the desirability of Plaintiff's content and value of content coming from its authorized brands. It also gives purchasers assurance that the content is legal and is being created and distributed in accordance with Japanese law.
- 4. Defendants' actions are causing serious harm to Will Co. and its business, including in the United States and must be put to a stop immediately. Because Defendants will not do so voluntarily, Will Co. now comes before this Court seeking injunctive relief and damages.

#### 1 |

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 

- 5. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for trademark infringement under 15 U.S.C. § 1051copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*,
- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Will Co.'s claims for copyright infringement, violations of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act and violations of the Lanham Act pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 7. The Ninth Circuit has already determined that Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in the United States for copyright infringement.
- 8. Plaintiff's videos are viewed by a specific niche market in the United States, and it is a niche with high demand. While Plaintiff recently has been limiting the availability of its streaming videos to the United States, DVDs are still sent to the United States, and Plaintiff has had years of prior use in the United States, resulting in millions of dollars of revenue and accumulated goodwill for its brands.
- 9. Plaintiff has over 50 copyright registrations in the United States and has considered the United States key to its long-term marketing, branding, and sales strategy.
- 10. To protect its business and interest in the United States market, Will Co. filed for and obtained copyright registrations for certain content with the United States Copyright Office. It also owns dozens of Japan-registered trademarks for video services and video goods. Will Co.'s brands also have significant value in the United States as sources for high-quality content that is compliant with Japanese regulations.
- 11. Defendants Ka Yeung Lee, Youhaha Marketing and Promotion Limited, and Does 1-20 are currently unknown individual(s) and/or entity(ies) that own and operate websites located at the uniform resource locator ("URL") https://ThisAV.com ("ThisAV Web Site") and the related sites identified herein.
- 12. Long after this action was filed, Plaintiff became aware of other websites to display the exact same content on the ThisAV Web Site. Most of these websites have identical HTML "source"

- 16. When this case began, the ThisAV Web Site was hosted at GorillaServers, Inc. in the United States. Defendants utilized a Content Delivery Network ("CDN") with Cloudflare in the United States. A CDN permits faster more efficient streaming of videos to a user far away from the initial server. Thus, all videos viewed by United States viewers are delivered from a United States server.
- 17. Based on a website analysis overview report prepared by Similarweb.com, an industry trusted web site analytics company, dated April 2024, for the three-month period ending March 2024, the MissAV.com Web Site alone had 611.5 million visitors.
- 18. Defendants' Websites utilize U.S.-based Akamai Technologies for content delivery services.
- 19. Defendants' Websites utilize California-based Cloudflare, Inc., a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware for Registrar, DNS, and other services.
- 20. Defendants have attempted to hide their identity as the registrant of Defendants' Websites by redacting their identification on any public postings through privacy services provided by

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 21. Defendants' Websites display geo-targeted advertisements to U.S. users, meaning the operators of the web site have means to determine the general locale of each user and displays advertisements consistent and/or specific to the U.S.
- 22. Defendants' Websites utilize United States-based Google Analytics, a website traffic tracking services of Google, LLC to track its website analytics.
- 23. Defendants' use of U.S. vendors for domain name servers, privacy services, advertisers, geo-targeted ads and other services illustrate that Defendants are expressly aiming their web site and business at the United States Market.
- 24. Defendants' Websites, owned and/or operated by Defendants, expressly informed a user that may upload content to ThisAV that the user is expected to provide 2257 records (referring to 18 U.S.C. § 2257) upon request. Defendants clearly intend and anticipate for U.S. viewers to use the ThisAV Web Site. In August 2022, after the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit ruled on matters in this case (Case No. 21-35617) in favor of Plaintiff, the U.S.C. § 2257 information was quickly removed from Defendants' Websites.
- 25. Defendants in this matter previously brought a motion to dismiss based upon lack of personal jurisdiction. That motion was successful in the District Court but reversed by the Ninth Circuit in *Will Co. v. Ka Yeung Lee*, 47 F.4th 917, 919 (9th Cir. 2022). There is no question that personal jurisdiction is appropriate in this case.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendants all transact business in this Judicial District by way of their interactive website and through their interactivity with United States and Washington residents who have been offered the infringing and unlawful content at issue herein and who have, themselves, engaged in acts of infringement in this District and State. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants, who have engaged in business activities in and directed to this District

23

24

25

26

and have committed tortious acts within this District or directed at this District. The Defendants are amenable to service of process pursuant to the state Long-Arm Statute, and Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(e).

- 27. Any alien defendant is subject to jurisdiction in any district. See 28 U.S.C. 1391 ("An alien may be sued in any district.") See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(2).
- 28. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 28 U.S.C. §1338.
  - 29. Venue is appropriate in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or (c).
- 30. This Court has personal jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and/or (d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a).

#### **PARTIES**

- 31. Will Co. is, and at all relevant times was, a private limited liability company organized under the laws of Japan, and has its head office at Tokyo, Japan.
- 32. Will Co., an award-winning Japanese entertainment company, is comprised of nearly 100 employees who manage over 37 registered trademarks and 50 brands, each of which explore and deliver sensuality and sexuality through artistic photography, video, and erotic stories.
- 33. Since 2016, Will Co. has grown its video library to over 50,000 full length adult entertainment movies, featuring over 5000 models shot by over 300 photographer/directors. Its brands have grown into a globally recognized leader of Japanese sensual art garnering numerous industry awards through the use of studios in Japan, exotic locations, high budget productions, engaging storylines, famed photographers and directors coupled with the dedication from its artists and technicians.
- 34. As Will Co. has expanded its library and expanded its reach to a United States audience, Will Co. is registering copyrights with the U.S. Copyright Office.
- 35. Will Co. has registered with the United States Copyright Office the copyrighted works identified in this Complaint. Will Co. has taken industry standard steps to identify its products. Plaintiff

- 36. A schedule of the Will Co. copyrighted works at issue in this case thus far, which have been registered with the U.S. Copyright Office, is attached hereto as Schedule A (the "Subject Works").
- 37. Will Co. is also the owner of multiple trademark and service mark registrations in Japan, and it has built common law trademark and service mark rights in the United States (collectively, the registered and unregistered marks shall be referred to as the "Marks") A schedule of the Marks is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Many of the Marks are registered with English language equivalents, *e.g.*, MUTEKI, CROSS, E-BODY, FITCH, BEFREE, ROOKIE, kira ★kira and kuwaii\*.
- 38. Will Co. is the producer and exclusive licensor of its own motion pictures/content. Will Co. distributes its content through exclusive digital licensing with Digital Commerce Inc.. Digital Commerce, Inc. makes it products available through the website "Fanza." Its goods are available in the United States. Its streaming service has temporarily halted in the United States, but it operated for years in the United States and was well-known within the relevant community, resulting in millions of dollars per month in revenues. Will Co. intends to begin its streaming service again in the United States. Will Co. also maintains separate websites available in the United States for its most popular brands, on such sites as: mutekimuteki.com.
- 39. Will Co. has never authorized or given consent to Defendants to use the Marks or Subject Works in the manner complained of herein.
- 40. Defendants' own and operate Defendants' Websites. Defendant Ka Yeung Lee (hereinafter "Lee") is, upon information and belief, a resident of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China.
- 41. Defendant Lee is listed as the client and payor in client information maintained by Gorilla Servers, Inc. for services provided to ThisAV Web Site. At the time of the initial filing, Gorilla Services, Inc. was the hosting company for ThisAV Web Site.

42.

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

information and belief a company formed and registered in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China.

Defendant Youhaha Marketing and Promotion Limited (hereinafter "Youhaha") is, upon

- 43. Defendant Youhaha is listed as the client and payor in client information maintained by Gorilla Servers, Inc. for services provided to ThisAV Web Site. Gorilla Services, Inc. was the hosting company for ThisAV Web Site. Defendant Youhaha is also listed as the client and contact information for Tiger Media, providing ad broker services for ThisAV Web Site.
- 44. On information and belief, Defendants also operate the mirror websites Missav.com; (ii) misav789.com; (iii) MyAV.com; (iv) VassIm.com; (iv) FiveTiu.com; and (v) EightCha.com. On information and belief, these are all the alter egos of Defendants Lee and Youhaha and the owners of This AV.com. Miss AV.com and the other mirror sites display the same content in the same format as ThisAV.com and use the same source code.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Defendants' Websites operate with full intention of broadcasting, distributing, or making available content in the United States and earning money from the United States market. It appears that the Defendants' Websites utilize U.S.-based Cloudflare, Amakai Technolgies, and other US services to deliver infringing content to end users. It is currently unknown where the owners and operators are located.
- 46. Defendants Does 2 through 20 are the owners, operators, shareholder executives, and affiliates of ThisAV Web Site. Will Co. is unaware of the true names or capacities of Does 1 through 20. Will Co. is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Does 1 through 20 either (a) directly performed the acts alleged herein, (b) were acting as the agents, principals, alter egos, employees, or representatives of the owners and operators of the web sites, and/or (c) otherwise participated in the acts alleged herein with the owners and operators of the web sites. Accordingly, Does 1 through 20 each are liable for all the acts alleged herein because they were the cause in fact and proximate cause of all injuries suffered by Will Co. as alleged herein. Will Co. will amend the complaint to state the true names of Does 1 through 20 when their identities are discovered.

47. Will Co.'s streaming video services are not currently available in the Unted States. However, Will Co.'s brands are well-known in the United States. In the world of adult entertainment, there is a strong demand for new content, which usually arises in the context of new streams. Will Co.'s brands release several new streaming videos each day. The longer the videos are available, the less valuable they become to a significant sector of Plaintiff's potential customers. The videos are also released on DVD or other digital formats after they are released in streaming.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 48. In addition to the valuable copyright assets identified above, Will Co. owns dozens of trademarks and service marks in relation to provision of videos and video-related goods and services (the "Marks"). Many of the Marks are registered in Japan. A list of Will Co.'s more popular Marks is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
  - 49. For instance, some of the Marks include:
- (i) KIRA ★KIRA: Reg. No. 5750634 in IC 009 and 041, respectively, for "Image files that can be received and stored using the Internet; Recorded video discs and video tapes" and "Planning or operation of a movie, entertainment, drama or music performance; Production of videotape/video disc masters; Planning, operation or holding of a sports performance."
- (ii) Kawaii\*: Reg. No. 5750637 in IC 09 and 041 for "Image files that can be received and stored using the Internet Recorded video discs and video tapes" and "Planning or operation of a film, entertainment, drama or music performance; Production of videotape/video disc masters; Planning, operation or holding of a sports performance."
- (iii) MUTEKI: Reg. No. 5734687 in IC 041 for "Planning or operation of a movie, entertainment, drama or music performance; Production of videotape/video disc masters; Planning, operation or holding of a sports performance."
- 50. Will's Marks are associated with high quality, adult-themed goods and services based around its studios and specialty brands. There are very few authorized outlets for Will's goods and

25

26

59.

Videos on Defendants' Websites may be shared on other sites via "embed links" that

allow for posting on or to any social media site, website site, or via Email to anyone. Such functionality

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

- makes it impossible to know how many times and where an unlicensed copyrighted video has been posted and displayed illegally as a direct result of Defendant unlawful display.
- 60. Static banner advertisements exist on each page of Defendants' Websites, including advertisements expressly directed at United States viewers on behalf of United States companies.
  - 61. When a user attempts to watch a video, the user will be shown advertisements.
- 62. Certain advertisements on Defendants' Websites are geo-targeted, meaning the operators of the Defendants' Websites have means to determine the general locale of each user and display advertisements consistent and/or specific to the locale, including the United States.
- 63. Defendants earn money from the various advertisement schemes on Defendants' Websites. The amount of money earned is directly related to the amount of traffic to Defendants' Websites and the number of videos watched on the site. Therefore, the quality of the videos on Defendants' Websites directly influences the revenue generated by Defendants.
- 64. To further drive traffic to Defendants' Websites and exploit Plaintiffs content, Defendants regularly post infringing content from Plaintiff to its @missav daily Twitter Account (now X) daily.
- 65. Defendants' Websites fails to fulfill the requisite conditions precedent to qualify for the safe harbor provisions of the DMCA. Specifically, Defendants' Websites do not have an appointed registered DMCA Agent. Further, Defendants fail to honor take-down notices sent to Defendants' Websites and have failed to implement a reasonable repeat infringer policy.
- 66. At the initial filing of this case, ThisAV Web Site displayed a DMCA Notice and a web page inclusive of industry-standard language with instructions for notifying ThisAV of alleged copyright infringements. However, a copyright holder following such instructions did not result in either removal of the infringing content nor a termination of an infringer if a repeat infringer.
- 67. Will Co.'s agents routinely police web sites to identify infringement of Will Co.'s copyrighted works. In the course of doing so, Will Co.'s agents discovered many of Will Co.'s

- 68. Specifically, it was discovered that Defendants' Websites displayed 50 of Plaintiff's copyright registered on each of Defendants' Websites identified herein. These copyright registered works are listed in Exhibits A, attached hereto. Defendants have no authority or license to display or distribute any portion of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works in the manner displayed or exploited by Defendants and as complained therein.
- 69. To date, Plaintiff has identified 1,738,686 Links to infringing use of 50,120 full length video titles on MissAV.com and ThisAV.com alone.
- 70. Plaintiff has identified and documented the infringing use of its copyright registered works on each of Defendants' Websites identified in this complaint.
- 71. Will Co. sent DMCA compliant takedown notices to Defendants' Websites pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 512(c) and the policy stated on ThisAV Web Site and to its service providers pursuant to their published policies.
  - 72. None of Plaintiff's content was removed.

73. Defendants do not have a stated repeat infringer policy. Upon information and belief, Defendants have actual knowledge and clear notice of the infringement of Plaintiff's titles. Upon information and belief, Defendants are placing and making available for display Plaintiffs content and the associated data including the name of the actors, trademark brand, and owner information on the Defendants' Websites. The infringement is clear and obvious even to the most naïve observer. Plaintiff's copyrighted works are indexed, displayed, and distributed on Defendants' Websites through Defendants and the Doe Defendants acting in concert. Plaintiff's and other major producers' trademarks and DVD catalog number are used to index infringing material and other identifiers which is evidence of knowledge and intent by virtue of the conduct alleged herein. Defendants knowingly promote, participate in, facilitate, assist, enable, materially contribute to, encourage, and induce copyright

infringement, and thereby have infringed, secondarily infringed, and induced infringement by others, the copyrights in Plaintiffs' copyrighted work.

- 74. Defendants manually review each video before that video being made available to the public. Defendants, either jointly, severally, actually, constructively, and with or without direct concert with one another, deprived Plaintiffs of the lawful monetary rewards that accompany its rights in the copyrighted works and Marks.
- 75. Defendants' disregard for copyright and trademark laws threaten Plaintiff's business. Defendants intentionally, knowingly, negligently, or through willful blindness avoided reasonable precautions to deter the rampant copyright infringement, unfair competition and trademark infringement on their website.
- 76. Defendants' acts and omissions allow them to profit from their infringement while imposing the burden of monitoring Defendants' website onto copyright holders, without sufficient means to prevent continued and unabated infringement.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Copyright Infringement – 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et. seq. Against All Defendants

- 77. Will Co. repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference each preceding allegation set forth herein.
  - 78. Will Co. is the owner of valid and registered copyrights in the Subject Works.
  - 79. Will Co. registered each copyright with the United States Copyright Office.
- 80. Defendants have infringed, and are continuing to infringe, Will Co.'s copyrights by reproducing, adapting, distributing, publicly performing, and/or publicly displaying and authorizing others to reproduce, adapt, distribute, publicly perform, and/or publicly display copyrighted portions and elements of the Subject Works, and/or the Subject Works in their entireties, without authorization, in violation of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.
  - 81. Defendants did not have authority or license to copy and/or display the Subject Works.

1	82.	Will Co. has never authorized or given consent to Defendants to use the Subject Works
2	in the manner displayed and exploited by Defendants.	
3	83.	Defendants knew or reasonably should have known they did not have permission to
4	exploit the Su	bject Works on the Defendants' Websites and further knew or should have known their
5	acts constitute	ed copyright infringement.
6	84.	Defendants' acts of infringement are willful, in disregard of, and with indifference to
7	the manner displayed and exploited by Defendants.	
8	85.	Defendants engaged in intentional, knowing, negligent, or willfully blind conduct
9	sufficient to d	emonstrate they engaged actively in the improper collection and distribution of Plaintiffs'
10	copyrighted works.	
11	86.	The quantity and quality of copyright files available to users increased the attractiveness
12	of Defendants	s' service to its customers, increased its users base, and increased its ad sales revenue.
13	87.	Based on information and belief, Defendants actively uploaded pirated copyrighted
14	files, enablin	g users of Defendants' Websites to view copyrighted videos and images for free.
15	88.	Defendants controlled the files owned by Will Co. and determined which files
16	remained for	display and distribution.
17	89.	Defendants never implemented or enforced a "repeat infringer" policy.
18	90.	Defendants either were aware, actually or constructively, should have been aware, or
19	were willfull	y blind that pirated copyrighted materials comprised the most popular videos on the
20	Defendants websites.	
21	91.	Defendants, through Defendants' Websites, affirmatively and willfully
22	accommodated Internet traffic generated by the illegal acts.	
23	92.	Defendants' conduct was willful within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq. At a
24	minimum, Defendants acted with willful blindness and reckless disregard of Will Co.'s registere	
25	copyrights.	
26		

- 93. Because of their wrongful conduct, Defendants are liable to Will Co. for copyright infringement. See 17 U.S.C. §501. Plaintiff suffers and will continue to suffer substantial losses, including, but not limited to, damage to its business reputation and goodwill.
- 94. The law permits Plaintiff to recover damages, including readily ascertainable direct losses and all profits Defendants made by their wrongful conduct. 17 U.S.C. §504. Alternatively, the law permits Plaintiff to recover statutory damages. 17 U.S.C. §504(c).
- 95. Because of Defendants' willful infringement, the law permits enhancement of the allowable statutory damages. 17 U.S.C. §504(c) (2).
- 96. The law permits Plaintiff injunctive relief. 17 U.S.C. §502. Further, the law permits a Court Order impounding all infringing materials. 17 U.S.C. §503.
- 97. Because of Defendants' acts and conduct, Will Co. has sustained and will continued to sustain substantial, immediate, and irreparable injury, for which there is not adequate remedy at law. Unless enjoined and restrained by the Court, Defendants will continue to infringe Will Co.'s rights in the Subject Works. Will Co. is entitled to temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief to restrain and enjoin Defendants' continuing infringing conduct.

25

26

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### **Inducement of Copyright Infringement**

#### **Against All Defendants**

- 98. Will Co. repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference each preceding allegation set forth herein.
- 99. Defendants designed and/or distributed technology and/or devices and/or induced individuals to use this technology to promote the use of infringed and copyrighted material. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' inducement, individuals infringed Will Co.'s copyrighted works. These individuals reproduced, distributed and publicly disseminated Will Co.'s copyrighted works through Defendants' website.

3

45

67

8 9

11

10

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

2526

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## Vicarious and Contributory Inducement of Copyright Infringement Against All Defendants

- 108. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 109. Defendants have systematically failed to take any action to remove infringing content from the Infringing Sites.
- 110. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted, and resultantly profited from the illegal reproduction, distribution, display, and/or creation of derivative works based on the Subject Works as alleged herein.
- 111. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged herein because they had the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and because they had a direct financial interest in the infringing conduct.
- 112. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are contributory infringers because each knew or had reason to know of the infringing activity and that Defendants intentionally and materially contributed to the infringing activity.
- 113. By reason of the Defendants', and each of their, acts of contributory and/or vicarious infringement as alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial.
- 114. By reason of the Defendants' and each of their acts of contributory and/or vicarious infringement as alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer general and special damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial.
- 115. Due to Defendants' acts of contributory and/or vicarious copyright infringement, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would otherwise not have realized but for their infringement of the Subject Works. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement

1	of Defendants' profits, directly and indirectly, attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Subject	
2	Works in an amount to be established at trial.	
3	116. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of	
4	them, have continued to infringe Plaintiff's copyright rights. Therefore, Defendants' acts of copyright	
5	infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional, and malicious, subjecting	
6	Defendants, and each of them, to liability, therefore. Further, Defendants, and each of them, willful	
7	and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off, and/or infringed Plaintiff's Subject Works which	
8	renders Defendants, and each of them, liable for damages as described herein.	
9	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
10	(Trademark Infringement, False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition Against All	
11	<u>Defendants)</u>	
12	117. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates in this cause of action all previous paragraphs of this	
13	complaint.	
14	118. This claim for relief arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A) and is alleged against all	
15	Defendants.	
16	119. Plaintiff is the owner of the Marks and is entitled to sole use of those Marks in relation	
17	to the business, goodwill and services associated with the Marks.	
18	120. None of the Defendants named herein have any claim or colorable right to utilize the	
19	name Marks either in connection with goods or services related vidoes.	
20	121. Plaintiff's Marks function as designations origin that identify Plaintiff as the exclusive	
21	source of adult-themed videos and video-related services, and distinguish Plaintiff's goods from the	
22	goods of others in the marketplace.	
23	122. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Marks in connection with its goods constitutes false	
24	designation or origin and/or false or misleading representation. Defendants' use of identical or	
25	confusingly similar variations of Plaintiff's Marks is likely to cause confusion, or mistake, or to	
26	deceive others into believing that Defendants' products are manufactured, offered, sponsored,	

1	131.	Plaintiffs are informed and believe that the acts of Defendants complained of herein
2	were undertaken willfully and with the intention of causing confusion, mistake or deception.	
3	132.	Monetary relief alone is not adequate to address fully the irreparable injury that
4	defendants' il	legal actions have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff if Defendants' actions are
5	not enjoined.	Plaintiff will therefore also be entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to
6	stop the ongoing acts of unfair competition and other violations by Defendant and anyone acting	
7	concert with it.	
8	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
9	(Dilution – Against all Defendants)	
10	133.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates in this cause of action all previous paragraphs of this
11	Complaint.	
12	134.	This claim for relief arises under section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)
13	and is alleged	against all defendants.
14	135.	Plaintiff is the owner of the valuable, famous Marks associated with provision of video-
15	related goods and services, and is entitled to sole use and ownership of the business, goodwill of tho	
16	Marks.	
17	136.	Plaintiff's Marks have become famous throughout the United States, through use in the
18	in connection with its high quality, regulation-compliant videos. Accordingly, it is entitled to protection	
19	under § 11250	(c).
20	137.	Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiff's trade names and Marks does and will dilute
21	the distinctive quality of the trade name and marks and will diminish and destroy the public association	
22	of the trade name and Marks with Plaintiff.	
23	138.	In engaging in the actions complained of above, Defendants and each of them willfully
24	intended and intend to trade on the reputation of Plaintiff's Marks.	
25	139.	In engaging in the actions complained of above, Defendants and each of them have
26	willfully intended to cause dilution of the famous trade names and marksbelonging to Plaintiff.	

1	For each act v	violating Plaintiff's rights, Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages as well as	
2	Defendants' profits from such infringement.		
3	140.	Plaintiff is informed and believes that the acts of Defendants complained of herein were	
4	undertaken w	illfully and intentionally by Defendants.	
5	141.	Monetary relief alone is not adequate to address fully the irreparable injury that	
6	Defendants' i	llegal actions have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff if Defendants' acts are no	
7	enjoined. Plaintiff is therefore also entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to sto		
8	Defendants' ongoing violations as well as those of anyone acting in concert with them.		
9	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
10	(Washington Common Law Trademark Infringement)		
11	142.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth	
12	in the paragraphs.		
13	143.	Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Marks has infringed on its distinctive features in a manner	
14	that tends to	confuse, in the public mind, Plaintiff's products with others.	
15	144.	Defendants' acts, as above alleged, constitute infringement of Plaintiff's Marks in	
16	violation of the common law.		
17	145.	Plaintiff has been and continues to be damaged in a manner that cannot be fully	
18	measured or	compensated in economic terms and for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The	
19	actions of Defendant has damaged and will continue to damage Plaintiff's market, reputation, and		
20	goodwill.		
21	146.	Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' actions in an amount to be proven at trial.	
22		SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
23		(Unfair Business Practices — RCW 19.86 et seq.)	
24	147.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth	
25	in paragraphs	above.	
26			
	ı		

1	148. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Marks to promote, market, or sell products in Washington		
2	constitutes an unfair business practice pursuant to RCW 19.86 et seq.		
3	149. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Marks is an unfair or deceptive practice occurring in trade		
4	or commerce that impacts the public interest and has caused injury to Plaintiff.		
5	150. Defendants' actions violate RCW 19.86 et seq.		
6	EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
7	(Washington Common Law Unfair Competition)		
8	151. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set		
9	forth in the paragraphs above.		
10	152. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Marks has used the Marks in a manner that tends to		
11	confuse, in the public mind, Plaintiff's products and/or advertising with the products and/or advertising		
12	of others.		
13	153. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute unfair competition in violation		
14	of Washington common law.		
15			
16			
17	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
18	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Will Co. Ltd prays that this Court enter judgment in its favor on each		
19	and every claim for relief set forth above and award Will Co. relief including, but not limited to, a		
20	Order:		
21	A. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their agents, servants, officers,		
22	directors, employees, attorneys, privies, representatives, successors and assigns and parent and		
23	subsidiary corporations or other related entities, and any or all persons acting in concert or participation		
24	with any of them, or under their direction or control, from any of the following activities:		
25	(1) Hosting, linking to, distributing, reproducing, copying, downloading, uploading,		
26	making available for download, indexing, displaying, exhibiting, publicly performing,		

communicating to the public, streaming, transmitting, or otherwise exploiting or making any use of any of Will Co.'s copyrighted works, including the Subject Works, or any portion(s) thereof in any form;

- (2) Enabling, facilitating, permitting, assisting, soliciting, encouraging or inducing, whether directly or indirectly, any user or other third party (i) to host, link to, distribute, reproduce, copy, download, upload, make available for download, index, display, exhibit, publicly perform, communicate to the public, stream, transmit, or otherwise exploit or make any use of Will Co.'s copyrighted works, including the Subject Works, or portion(s) thereof; or (ii) to make available any of Will Co.'s copyrighted works, including the Subject Works, for hosting, linking to, distributing, reproducing, copying, downloading, uploading, making available for download, indexing, displaying, exhibiting, publicly performing, communicating to the public, streaming, transmitting, or other exploitation or use;
- (3) Using, operating, maintaining, distributing, or supporting any computer server, website, software, domain name, email address, social media account, bank account, or payment processing system in connection with the hosting, linking to, distributing, reproducing, copying, downloading, uploading, making available for download, indexing, displaying, exhibiting, publicly performing, communicating to the public, streaming, transmitting, or other exploitation or use of any of Will Co.'s copyrighted works, including the Subject Works;
- (4) Enabling, facilitating, permitting, assisting, soliciting, encouraging or inducing, whether directly or indirectly, any user or other third party to visit any website, including but not limited to any website operated by Defendants, that hosts, links to, distributes, reproduces, copies, downloads, uploads, makes available for download, indexes, displays, exhibits, publicly performs, communicates to the public, streams,

transmits, or otherwise exploits or makes any use of Will Co.'s copyrighted works, including the Subject Works, or portion(s) thereof;

- (5) Transferring or performing any function that results in the transfer of the registration of the domain name of Defendants' Websites including MissAV.com, ThisAV.com, MyAV.com, MissAV789.com, to any other registrant or registrar; and
- (6) Assisting, aiding or abetting any other person or business entity in engaging in or performing any of the activities referred to in this Paragraph.
- B. Requiring Defendants and their officers, servants, employees, agents and any persons who are, or on notice and upon continued provision of services would be, in active concert or participation with them, including but not limited to the domain name registrars and registries administering, holding, listing, or otherwise having control over the Defendants' Websites or any other domain name used in conjunction with Defendants' infringing activities, to transfer such domain name to Will Co.'s ownership and control, including, *inter alia*, by changing the registrar of record to the registrar of Will Co.'s choosing, unless Will Co. requests that such domain name be held and/or released rather than transferred.
- C. Requiring Defendants, their agents, servants, officers, directors, employees, attorneys, privies, representatives, successors and assigns and parent and subsidiary corporations or other related entities, and any or all persons or entity acting in concert or participation with any of them, or under their direction or control, including any internet search engines, web hosting and Internet service providers, domain name registrars, domain name registries and other service or software providers, within five (5) business days from the issuance of this Order:
  - (1) To block or attempt to block access by United States users of Defendants' Websites including MissAV.com, ThisAV.com, MyAV.com, MissAV789.com, by blocking or attempting to block access to all domains, subdomains, URLs, and/or IP Addresses that has as its sole or predominant purpose to enable to facilitate access to

1	K.	That Plaintiff be awarded enhanced damages and attorney's fees;	
2	L.	That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;	
3	M.	That Plaintiff be awarded costs and expenses incurred in prosecuting this action,	
4	including expert witness fees;		
5	and		
6	N.	That such other and further preliminary and permanent relief be awarded to Plaintiff as	
7	the Court deems appropriate.		
8			
9			
10		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
11	Plaintiff hereby respectfully demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules		
12	of Civil Procedure.		
13	DATED: Ma		
14		FREEMAN LAW FIRM, INC.	
15		$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}}$	
16		By: <u>s/Spencer Freeman</u> Spencer D. Freeman, WSBA No. 25069	
17		1107 ½ Tacoma Avenue South Tacoma, Washington 98042	
18		253-383-4500 253-383-45101 (fax)	
19		sfreeman@freemanlawfirm.org sierra@freemanlawfirm.org	
20		Counsel for Plaintiff Will Co. Ltd	
21		KARISH & BJORGUM, PC	
22		By/S/ A. Eric Bjorgum	
23		A. Eric. Bjorgum, CA State Bar No. 198392 119 E. Union Street, Suite B	
24		Pasadena, CA 91103	
25		(213) 785-8070 (213) 995-5010 (fax)	
26		eric.bjorgum@kb-ip.com  Counsel for Will Co Ltd.	
		(Moving for Admission pro hac vice)	
	SECOND AM	ENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND FREEMAN LAW FIRM, INC.	

1107 ½ Tacoma Avenue South **Tacoma, WA 98042**(253) 383-4500 - (253) 383-4501 (fax)

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF- 26